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| second to last page, just an MDOC sheet -- check that. | 1 | and the offense or attempted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Third to last page. The MDOC sheet indicates two prior | 2 | offense involves the operation of |
| prison terms. That should be one. So it actually would | 3 | a vehicle, vessel, ORV, |
| be page 1 of the Michigan Department of Corrections basic | 4 | snowmobile, aircraft, or |
| information report correlates back to -- what did I say | 5 | locomotive, and any of the |
| page 7. Am I being clear enough on that? | 6 | following apply. |
| MR. ROTH: I'm not sure I understood it. What | 7 | I won't read all of them in detail, but the |
| would be the entry next to sentence and disposition for 4 | 8 | first bullet point is that: |
| of 12? | 9 | The offender was under the |
| MR. MORLEY: The same as the other. Seven | 10 | influence of or visibly impaired. |
| months' jail instead of two years' confinement on page 7. | 11 | The second is that: |
| MR. ROTH: I don't have any contrary | 12 | The offender had an alcohol |
| information. l'll leave it to the Court's discretion. | 13 | content of . 08 grams or more. |
| THE COURT: So the total was seven months' | 14 | The third is if: |
| jail, Mr. Morley? | 15 | The offender's body contained any |
| MR. MORLEY: Yes, sir. | 16 | amount of controlled substance. |
| THE COURT: I'll make that change on page 7 | 17 | I don't believe any of those apply. |
| under offense 4 of 12. | 18 | There is, however, case law, Your Honor, that |
| And, Mr. Morley, you'll have to direct me again | 19 | says the zero cannot apply because there was physical |
| on basic information report. | 20 | injury. It would be my position, and I have the case, |
| MR. MORLEY: If you see -- | 21 | that it should be scored at 25 instead of 50. |
| THE COURT: You're looking under criminal | 22 | THE COURT: Mr. Roth? |
| history, prison? | 23 | MR. ROTH: I'm certainly in agreement that the |
| MR. MORLEY: Yes, sir. | 24 | basement is 25 . On the other hand, the People believe |
| THE COURT: Where it says two? | 25 | that it is appropriately scored at 50 points in one of |
| 7 |  | 9 |
| MR. MORLEY: Prison is abbreviated. It says | 1 | two alternative theories: |
| two. That should be one. | 2 | First, that he was under the influence impaired |
| THE COURT: Mr. Roth, do you have any objection | 3 | by alcohol. We had testimony that the Defendant was |
| to that? | 4 | drinking at the first bar. Had about five cans of |
| MR. ROTH: I don't, but I would imagine then | 5 | Budweiser. The testimony that he then goes to the second |
| the jail should go from five to six. | 6 | bar and continues to drink Budweiser cans all night. In |
| MR. MORLEY: Good point. | 7 | fact, in People's Exhibit 107, 106, 108 he's leaving the |
| THE COURT: That's correct. I'll make that | 8 | bar, kicking the door open. Holding another can of |
| change. | 9 | Budweiser at 1:47:57, so minutes before the pursuit |
| MR. MORLEY: I believe that's all of the | 10 | begins. We then see him outside in 109 and 110 at |
| factual corrections that I have, Your Honor. | 11 | 1:51:24 and 49 where he's clearly smoking. |
| THE COURT: All right. And are there -- | 12 | During trial testimony by Brian Hildabridle was |
| Mr. Roth, do you have other changes to the body | 13 | that he believed that the Defendant and him were smoking |
| of the report? | 14 | marijuana at that time, had smoked other marijuana that |
| MR. ROTH: Nothing to the body, Your Honor. | 15 | day. Any amount of marijuana in the system is enough to |
| THE COURT: All right. | 16 | score under the third bullet point. |
| Then with regard to the scoring of the | 17 | We had testimony about bad driving on the drive |
| guidelines, Mr. Morley, are there issues there? | 18 | home. The Steins testified that moments after this, the |
| MR. MORLEY: Yes, sir. I don't believe there | 19 | Defendant is -- is driving sort of under the influence of |
| is any issues with any of the PRVs. OV 3, Your Honor, is | 20 | those sorts of characteristics. He's weaving past the |
| currently scored at 50 . I believe that's inaccurate. | 21 | middle line. He's tailgating. We believe it's |
| And if you read the instructions within the Michigan | 22 | appropriately scored at 50 points. |
| Sentencing Guidelines Manual, it indicates to: | 23 | THE COURT: Mr. Morley, any response to that? |
| Score 50 points if death results | 24 | MR. MORLEY: I'll leave it to the Court's |
| from the commission of a crime | 25 | discretion, Your Honor. I believe I put my position out |
| 8 |  | 10 |

and the offense or attempted offense involves the operation of a vehicle, vessel, ORV,
snowmobile, aircraft, or comotive, and any of the following apply.
I won't read all of them in detail, but the

The offender was under the influence of or visibly impaired.

The offender had an alcohol
content of .08 grams or more.

The offender's body contained any
amount of controlled substance.
號 says the zero cannot apply because there was physical injury. It would be my position, and I have the case,

THE COURT: Mr. Roth?
MR. ROTH: I'm certainly in agreement that the basement is 25 . On the other hand, the People believe that it is appropriately scored at 50 points in one of two alternative theories:

First, that he was under the influence impaired by alcohol. We had testimony that the Defendant was drinking the first bar. Had about five cans of bar and continues to drink Budweiser cans all night. In fact, in People's Exhibit 107, 106, 108 he's leaving the kicking the door open. Holding another can of begins. We then see him outside in 109 and 110 at 1:51:24 and 49 where he's clearly smoking.

During trial testimony by Brian Hildabridle was that he believed that the Defendant and him were smoking marijuana at that time, had smoked other marijuana that day. Any amount of marijuana in the system is enough to

We had testimony about bad driving on the drive
home. The Steins testified that moments after this, the Defendant is -- is driving sort of under the influence of those sorts of characteristics. He's weaving past the middle line. He's tailgating. We believe it's appropriately scored at 50 points.

THE COURT: Mr. Morley, any response to that?
MR. MORLEY: I'll leave it to the Court's

```
there.
THE COURT: Well, the testimony as outlined --
I'm more convinced by the testimony as to the substances
that there was evidence that the offender's body
contained at least some amount of a controlled substance
because there was testimony of use of marijuana. And
while there was the testimony about drinking -- that
could be another basis -- I'm not sure that the testimony
actually established that he was under the influence.
    Although given the chronology and the number of
beers, I suppose that could be a reasonable conclusion
But, either way, I think it is properly scored at
50 points.
    MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor.
    MR. MORLEY: Thank you, Judge. I would then --
let me jump ahead and then maybe come back. Same
argument would apply with respect to OV 18, which is
currently scored at ten points, alleging that the
offender operated a vehicle under the influence of
alcohol -- alcoholic liquor, intoxicating liquor, and
controlled substance. In light of the Court's ruling, I
would make the objection but anticipate the same ruling
as on OV 3.
    THE COURT: Mr. Roth?
    MR. ROTH: Same argument would apply.
argument applies. I will leave OV 18 scored at
ten points.
    MR. MORLEY: Do you mind if I address counsel
for a moment?
    THE COURT: Not a problem.
    MR. MORLEY: (Talking to Mr. Roth off the
record.)
    I believe that's all I have for objections,
Your Honor
    THE COURT: All right.
    Mr. Roth, any other changes or issues with
regard to the scoring of the guidelines on behalf of the
People?
    MRR. ROTH: Nothing as to guidelines,
Your Honor.
    THE COURT: Then with that, Mr. Morley,
allocution on behalf of Mr. Kelsey?
    MR. MORLEY: Your Honor, I have to go back for
just a moment. And I don't know if maybe this is the
right time, but it is in the presentence report. As to
restitution, there is an objection to the restitution or
addressed in the presentence report. Candidly, Mr. Roth
and I have conferred, and he modified that restitution
amount. And I can let him address it. But even with the
```

THE COURT: And when you say in total order

```
final restitution amount, Mr. Kelsey will be objecting
```

final restitution amount, Mr. Kelsey will be objecting
and requesting an evidentiary/restitution hearing on the
and requesting an evidentiary/restitution hearing on the
issue of restitution.
issue of restitution.
THE COURT: So do you want to put that on the
THE COURT: So do you want to put that on the
record now, Mr. Roth, and then get to it in more detail
record now, Mr. Roth, and then get to it in more detail
later at the evidentiary hearing?
later at the evidentiary hearing?
MR. ROTH: We can address it now.
MR. ROTH: We can address it now.
What we're going to do, in speaking to
What we're going to do, in speaking to
Mr. Morley, is the number that is currently contained in
Mr. Morley, is the number that is currently contained in
the report -- in candor, I had spoke to the victim's
the report -- in candor, I had spoke to the victim's
family and said "Provide us whatever expenses you
family and said "Provide us whatever expenses you
incurred as a result, and we will sort out which is
incurred as a result, and we will sort out which is
applicable by the law."
applicable by the law."
And in doing that, \$9,664.75 is what is due to
And in doing that, \$9,664.75 is what is due to
the Whitaker family in place of the number that's in
the Whitaker family in place of the number that's in
there.
there.
On the other hand, what is not in there and
On the other hand, what is not in there and
should be is the cost, the replacement cost, of an Ingham
should be is the cost, the replacement cost, of an Ingham
County Sheriff's deputy patrol vehicle with the necessary
County Sheriff's deputy patrol vehicle with the necessary
equipment, which is valued at \$41,786.83.
equipment, which is valued at \$41,786.83.
In speaking to Mr. Morley, it is -- in light of
In speaking to Mr. Morley, it is -- in light of
his objection, the way we would like to proceed is to
his objection, the way we would like to proceed is to
have the amount in total ordered today, and then we can,
have the amount in total ordered today, and then we can,
if necessary, lower it or raise it at a restitution
if necessary, lower it or raise it at a restitution
hearing in the future.
hearing in the future.
today, are you saying both the amount to the Whitaker
today, are you saying both the amount to the Whitaker
family and the restitution or the reimbursement of the
family and the restitution or the reimbursement of the
patrol vehicle to the sheriff's department?
patrol vehicle to the sheriff's department?
MR. ROTH:That's my understanding in speaking
MR. ROTH:That's my understanding in speaking
with Mr. Morley, Your Honor
with Mr. Morley, Your Honor
THE COURT: So do you want me to put that
THE COURT: So do you want me to put that
amount in the order?
amount in the order?
MR. ROTH: Subject to his objection and later
MR. ROTH: Subject to his objection and later
hearing.
hearing.
MR. MORLEY: Just so it's clear, Judge, counsel
MR. MORLEY: Just so it's clear, Judge, counsel
has -- has laid this out accurately as per our
has -- has laid this out accurately as per our
discussion, but there is an objection to that amount. So
discussion, but there is an objection to that amount. So
if you're going to order the 41 and change and the 9,000
if you're going to order the 41 and change and the 9,000
and change, Mr. Kelsey is objecting to that and
and change, Mr. Kelsey is objecting to that and
requesting a restitution hearing
requesting a restitution hearing
If that can be resolved prior to any
If that can be resolved prior to any
restitution hearing, counsel and I will attempt to do
restitution hearing, counsel and I will attempt to do
that.
that.
THE COURT: All right. Is there any other
THE COURT: All right. Is there any other
issue before you present your allocution, Mr. Morley?
issue before you present your allocution, Mr. Morley?
MR. MORLEY: I don't think so, Your Honor.
MR. MORLEY: I don't think so, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Go right ahead, sir.
THE COURT: All right. Go right ahead, sir.
MR. MORLEY: Your Honor, I have provided the
MR. MORLEY: Your Honor, I have provided the
Court with a sentencing memorandum on behalf of
Court with a sentencing memorandum on behalf of

to know when we're wrong, to accept responsibility for
our actions, to work hard.
They are the most two loving, caring people
I'll ever know. They have gone through so many trials
and tribulations throughout life. Now they face this;
losing their only son to a system in an unjust way,
And some in this courtroom feel I should spend
the rest of my life in prison. To you I say, "Why?" I
am not the person responsible and wasn't driving that
vehicle Grant Whitaker was chasing. Therefore, I'm not
the one responsible for that accident. I made statements
before and after my arrest. Rue made statement
after being read my rights to several Michigan State
The jury or the public never got to hear any of
it. The investigating team's testimony are inconsistent
with police reports. Police and prosecutions withheld
evidence, concocted theories, and have broke and violated
rights, the laws they are sworn to enforce to get a
There is eye witnesses that were at the crash
scord There is wing the the
record of them being there and others as well questioned
rocedures change, and the rules were bent A police
officer had lost his life, and investigators were
everywhere offering $\$ 10,000$ to anyone who would tell them
what they wanted to hear.
Then at trial the investigators themselves were
knowingly and allowed to commit perjury. They testified
that Michigan State Police doesn't offer reward money for
I ask you, then why is there documentation of
offering money to multiple witnesses, and these witnesses
are directly related to the Hildabridle brothers who both
lied under oath, were -- but were still allowed to
testify? One who was let out of jail for his testimony.
The other sent to jail after testifying. But still not
There is testimony that Pinckney is in the
Stockbridge area. For those who are not familiar with
the area, Pinckney is located in the south central region
of Livingston County, roughly twice the distance from
Stockbridge than the eight or nine miles Michigan State
Police detectives testified to.
isa Gee, Les Rochefort, Detective Green,
Detective Bundshuh, Troy Johnston, Kyle McPhee, Detective
Young, Detective Tinkle, Detective Singleton, you all
18

suffered a tragic loss, an unthinkable, heartbreaking haven't survived anything, not yet. Strong, through the grace of God, we are strong, but not on our own.

We are part of a larger family. Because of their love for our son, the Ingham County Sheriff's Office, the law enforcement community, are family. Their love for Grant makes us a family. Together, we will
 family by love.

Grant was our youngest child. He was the little brother. He was Morgan's future, her life. Grant解

Grief. It's hard. It's painful. It's messy. It takes its own time. Grief is the price that you pay解

Grant William Whitaker carried my father's name, William S. McGinn. My father was a World War II decorated veteran; two purple hearts and a bronze star that nickname honoring his namesake. Two heros together in the kingdom of God.

This is my son's badge. He wore this badge with pride and integrity. Grant worked hard for that badge. He made his own dreams come true by earning this morning of December 7th, 2014. Pinned over Grant's heart as it took its last beat. The same heart that took its first beat inside of me.

Who was Deputy Grant William Whitaker, Badge No. 5497? Grant was a man who took an oath. First sworn to this oath for the village of Stockbridge, the village of Waterloo, and finally for the Ingham County Sheriff's Office.

I do solemnly swear that I will support the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of this State, and I will faithfully perform the duties of this office of deputy sheriff in and for the county of of my abilities, so help me God. Signature, Grant Whitaker

What does this mean? Grant's brothers call it soldiering up. Each time Grant put on his uniform, he would soldier up to defeat evil, protect the innocent, and uphold the law, risking his own life to save the life or lives of others, to the best of his ability. This
also means when off duty, when out of uniform, he must

where they slap each other on the backs. Last words
between brothers and sister. Last hugs with kisses on
soft-cheeked nephews. A family peacefully unaware of
what the future holds.
Mark 13:32:
You do not know the day or the
hour. No one knows. Not the
angels of heaven nor the Son.
Only the Father knows.
day. Always a fun day. Shared with our daughter,
son-in-law, and their one-year-old son. The evening of
bth is spent decorating our Christmas tree.
At this point
swing.

I send each of our kids a picture of the Christmas tree, each kid except Grant. He's on duty that evening, and I didn't want to interrupt his work.
I
would see the tree then. And when he did come, he would
search the tree for the two ninja turtle ornaments he
always hung as a child. The trouble is, he never did
come home ever again.

As we go to bed on the evening of December 6th, everything is ready for a wonderful Christmas. The gifts
are hidden away Fach room has its own touch of
Christmas. The manger is lit in the front yard. A
brightly shinning candle in each and every window.

Everyone will be off work and home this
Christmas. Even Morgan, Grant's girlfriend, will be
joining us this year. Our two grandsons, now toddlers,
bring the joy of children back to our home once again.
2:00 a.m., December 7th, 2014, Grant's Aunt
Debbie is awakened with an urgentness to begin praying
her rosary.
2:08 a.m., December 7th, 2014, our son, Deputy
Grant William Whitaker, dies in the line of duty. A good
and loyal servant is taken from this world. His heart
beats its last beneath a badge.
2:10 a.m., Morgan, who is also on duty, has her
radio shut down. She is asked not to re-enter the airway
until further notice. Morgan is aware something has
happened to Grant but waits patiently for word.
3:00 a.m., Morgan is escorted by Sergeant Earle
to Sheriff Wrigglesworth's Office. Chief Deputy Harless
and Sergeant Harris were standing there. They
immediately inform Morgan that Grant has been in an
accident. They said it wasn't good. He had no pulse at


```
and happiness, I'm realizing the only light in this room
is the Christmas tree.
    I heard Clyde ask, "What was he doing at the
time of the crash?" Something was said about someone
going too fast. Trying to pull them over. Road
conditions were perfect. Not sure what happened. What a
```

waste.
I said, "Where is he now?"
"He was taken to Sparrow Hospital."
"Where did this happen?"
"Somewhere near Dexter Trail and Main," Deputy
th said
aid. "That can't be right." Out of all of Ingham
County, that must be a mistake. I was sure it was a
Sheriff Wrigglesworth asked if Grant had any
bings. I heard myself saying, "We have four
children." The room was silent, and I knew what everyone
was thinking, "They had four children."
At this point, I heard a small cry from the
middle of these people. "Morgan, is that you? Oh my
God, Morgan," and I reached for her. Clyde had her
wrapped in his arms. Sheriff Wrigglesworth tells us that
With that, we are left with the victim's
advocates. Morgan is with us as we leave our house in
the dark. I look at this house as we pull away. The
home Grant was raised in has lost one of her family too.
I see the manger lit on the front lawn. St.
Joseph and the Blessed Mother holding the infant Jesus.
essed Mother knows this pain that now fills my
heart. She too lost her son, killed upon a tree. The
brightly burning candles in each window showing the
promise of a newborn savior, the light of the world
We go to each child's home, each home looking
morning. This is all that is needed to explain the
question in their eyes as they open the door. Our
daughter, Angie, falls into my arms and cries like a
small child.

Our son Joshua, after hearing the same lifeshattering words, "Grant was killed this morning," turns around and landed in his wife's arms.

After a moment, he asked me, "Did he suffer?"

Josh leaves with us as we head to Aaron's


|  | 5497. Deputy Grant Whitaker. | 1 | A home their life would start in, beginning a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | This is the last call for Grant |  | family, raising a family in the community he loved. |
| 3 | William Whitaker. End of watch | 3 | Instead of a beautiful wedding, we had a beautiful |
|  | December 7th, 2014. Gone but you |  | funeral. Shattered dreams. Why? Because John Kelsey |
| 5 | will never be forgotten. Rest |  | has no regard for anyone but John Kelsey. |
|  | peace, my friend. We have th | 6 | When John Kelsey began this deadly pursuit by |
| 7 | watch from here. Show you e |  | ding past the two patrol cars parked at the Marathon |
| 8 | ute to your n | 8 | station, Grant taking the lead of this pursuit performing |
| 9 | assignment | 9 | ty of deputy sheriff for the county of Ingham to |
| 10 | With that, the tear filled burial is ended. |  | the best of his ability, God hands Grant a torch saying, |
| 11 | Our family's journey through grief has just begun. | 11 | ime to stop him, Grant." |
| 12 | Finishing the final details of a life taken way too soon | 12 | Grant, being a good and loyal servant, takes |
| 13 | s. |  | orch from the hand of God and says, "Let's do |
| 14 | The decision to sell Grant's house was made; |  | this." |
| 15 | the house that he made only four mortgage payments on | 15 | Grant carries this torch through the |
| 16 | April 3rd, 2015, Good Friday, the final day of |  | eight-mile, high-speed pursuit to the tree where his life |
| 17 | clearing out Grant's house. |  | is sacrificed. I am convinced this was God's plan. If |
| 18 | When I saw John Kelsey's possessions at the | 18 | it had not been, Grant would not have died on the morning |
|  | time of his arrest, I am reminded of this day. A | 19 | of December 7th, 2014. God does not make mistakes. |
| 2 | toothbrush and toothpaste. Standing in Grant's bathroom, | 20 | People make mistakes. |
| 21 | I held his toothbrush for a long time remembering how | 21 | If John Kelsey had been stopped on that fate- |
| 22 | when I -- when he was a child, I would re-brush his teeth |  | morning, another fleeing and eluding police, |
|  | for him. I dropped his toothbrush and his toothpaste in |  | another DUI under his belt. Never stopped him before. |
| 2 | trash. | 24 | t his first rodeo. Daddy comes to pay -- to the |
| 25 | I picked up his razor picturing him shaving for |  | rescue. Pays a lawyer. Pays the bond. Home for |
|  | 35 |  | 37 |
|  | work. Throug | 1 | mas. One big happy family. Might do jail time |
| 2 | I picked up the bottle of shampoo and the bar of soap in | 2 | not. Who know |
| 3 | his shower. The next time he would be bathed would be by | 3 | Life for John Kelsey goes on much like before, |
| 4 | someone else in preparation for his burial. I dropped | 4 | another night out partying, but this time speeding home |
| 5 | the shampoo and the soap in the trash | 5 | he hits a car full of teenagers killing them all or a van |
| 6 | I told Jesus, "With each item being removed | 6 | filled with a family, young children strapped in their |
|  | from this house, I too am being crucified on this Good | 7 | car seats killing everyone. |
| 8 | Friday." | 8 | God handed Grant the torch and said, "It's tim |
| 9 | I watched as Grant's pool table is dismantled | 9 | to stop him, Grant." |
|  | and removed from the basement. I watched his brothers | 10 | Our son pays the ultimate price for answering |
|  | packing up Grant's tools from the garage. The wagon |  | the call of God. I can hear Grant's voice in response. |
|  | Grant built for his nephews, using the wheels, axles, an | 12 | "Let's do this." |
|  | handle from his own childhood wagon, a Christmas gift | 13 | MSP picks up this torch at the crash site |
|  | left unfinished, unpainted. All the things Grant valued |  | taking it to the arrest of John Coryell Kelsey II. |
| 15 | being removed from the house he loved. | 15 | Michigan State Police now hand this same torch that came |
|  | In the evening of April 3rd, Clyde and I do a |  | from the hand of God off to Stuart Dunnings III, |
|  | tear filled last walk through of the house Grant's future | 17 | Prosecuting Attorney General. Stuart Dunnings hand picks |
|  | would have unfolded in. We hold each other as the tears |  | his very best, Prosecuting Attorney Jonathan Roth. |
|  | fall. | 19 | Jonathan Roth grabs hold of this torch as he builds an |
|  | e was such a good boy," Clyde says |  | outstanding case. |
| 21 | "I know," is all that I can manage to say. | 21 | After a near two-week trial, Jonathan Roth |
| 22 | All the plans that he had for this house, take |  | hands this same torch off to a jury, who finds John |
|  | this wall down, hardwood floors throughout, preparing to | 23 | Coryell Kelsey II guilty. Guilty of fleeing and eluding |
|  | make this house a home he would bring his bride home to, |  | police causing death. |
|  | making it a house Morgan would be proud to call a home. | 25 | This very torch that came from the hand of God |
|  | 36 |  |  |



```
his normal behavior. That he has left the criminal
portion of his life behind him, but that is simply not
In 1997, he was convicted of possession of
marijuana. And in the underlying facts, as noted in the
PSI, this was from a vehicle pursuit from police trying
In 1998, he was convicted of resisting or
obstructing a police officer. Again, in this he fought
with police. He attempted to damage the patrol car. He
spit in the patrol car and ultimately screamed at
In 2000, he was convicted of fleeing and
eluding fourth degree, possession of marijuana, drunk
drive, driving while license suspended, open intox;
eerily similar facts to our current case but without the
uence.
    He fled from police at more than }100\mathrm{ miles per
hour to avoid being caught with marijuana and more
alcohol in the car, and he was sent to prison for that.
No reformation, though.
    In 2003, he was convicted of possession of
between 5 and 50 pounds of marijuana in Texas. I can
only imagine why a Michigan resident has between
```

In 2004, convicted of delivery or manufacture
of marijuana.
2007, drunk driving again, again involving a
2010, felony possession of a dangerous weapon.
while license suspended.
And now shows up at sentencing, and he hides
behind his children. Stapling pictures of his children's
pictures to the sentencing memorandum, talking about them
up there is shameful and cowardly. He is the one that
made the choices that affect his future and his future
with his children.
I will never understand why defendants show up
and try and make it incumbent upon the Court and the
justice system. It is their own decisions that dictate
that future. At sentencing, everybody shows up and says,
II want to work hard. Spend time with my family. Turn
my life around." But if these things were really
important to the Defendant, his actions on December 7th,
2014, would have been entirely different, and Deputy
I'm sure that he vowed to change his ways
still no reformation, still no change, and that's why

```
we're here today.
    As Mr. Morley talked about, multiple things can
be done at sentencing. Reformation is one of them. The
Defendant has had opportunities to reform far in excess
of almost any other defendant l've ever seen or I think
this Court has seen. Gone to rehab multiple times.
Probation four times. One of his rehabs was in Boca
Raton, Florida. It doesn't get much nicer than that
Doesn't get much more incentive to turn it around than
that. And still nothing. This Court can't send him
anywhere nicer than that.
    Having taken another's life, the days for him
to turn his around are over. We cannot abide by his
empty promises to turn it around with this sentence
anymore.
The Michigan Department of Corrections has
labeled him and determined him to be a, quote, extremely
high risk to the community and, therefore, recommend a
minimum sentence of 280 months in prison.
    People are in agreement. Rehabilitation has
failed. And so it's incumbent upon the justice system
and the courts to protect society. And his record has
shown the only way to do that is with prison. As long as
he is out, he will continue to drive drunk and high and
suspended, and he'll fight with the police. He'll flee
4 3
from police endangering everybody on the road.
    One of the things that Mrs. Whitaker and I have
talked about as this case has progressed is that the
fleeing and eluding statute and the penal code does not
take into consideration whether the victim is a police
officer or a civilian. That's extremely uncommon in the
Michigan Penal Code. If you assault and batter a
civilian, it's a 93-day misdemeanor. If you assault and
batter a police officer, it's a two-year felony.
    If you injure a civilian, it's a one-year
misdemeanor. If you injure an officer, it's a four or
eight-year felony.
    If you kill a civilian, the circumstances will
dictate the degree of manslaughter or murder. If you
kill an on-duty police officer, it is first-degree
murder.
    Fleeing and eluding does not make any
distinction, and I have to assume that that is an
oversight on behalf of the Legislature. That is
certainly grounds to upward depart.
    The People are in agreement with the assessment
that the Defendant is extremely high risk to the
community. The recommendation for }280\mathrm{ months, we ask the
Court at a minimum to order that if not higher. Thank
you, Your Honor.
\(\square\)
```
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Well,
various factors in determining a sentence. Those include
punishment, rehabilitation, protection of society, and
deterrence of others when determining an appropriate
sentence. And I think in this situation, the need to
protect society and the deterrence of others from conduct
that involves in this case both extremely high speeds,
reckless operation of a vehicle on public roads, and also
involves the complete disregard for the authority of
police officers could not be more clear as demonstrated
by the dramatic and tragic facts of this case.
    The amount of time that serves as sufficient
and appropriate punishment while balancing the
possibility of rehabilitation is, perhaps, the more
difficult question for the Court.
    The sentence recommended by the Department of
Corrections in the presentence investigation report, the
maximum sentence or anything awarded or sentenced close
to that would essentially mean that, Mr. Kelsey, your
release, if you were to serve the maximum, you would be
around eighty years old or so. Essentially, for all
practical purposes, that is a life sentence. And the
maximum penalty for this crime with the habitual offender
enhancement, the fourth habitual offender component of
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that, is a life sentence.
    The minimum is really what gives the Michigan
Department -- the minimum sentence is what gives the
Michigan Department of Corrections the ability to
rehabilitate, if possible, and gives the Michigan
Department of Corrections the ability to evaluate a
Defendant's participation and also evaluate the level of
commitment of a prisoner to reform his criminal behavior.
    And through the process of granting or denying
parole, the Michigan Department of Corrections can
determine whether a Defendant's conduct warrants release
closer to the minimum sentence or closer to the maximum
sentence.
            Those are the things that I have to look at and
have looked at in evaluating and trying to determine an
appropriate sentence in this case.
    Mr. Kelsey, your criminal history, together
with the continued criminal behavior of this incident, is
really an indication that you choose not to reform. That
while you have made some changes that cause family
members and friends of yours to speak highly of you
regarding your commitment as a father and other things
that have been outlined in the letters I received in
connection with the sentencing memorandum, you choose to
not be a fully law-abiding citizen. That's been your
```
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choice.
    And so now it is time to face the consequences
    of that choice to disregard the law and those in law
    enforcement and to engage in the reckless conduct that
    caused this tragic incident.
    Ultimately, Mr. Kelsey, it is your conduct from
    this day forward that will determine the actual length of
    your incarceration because I will be giving a minimum and
    maximum sentence. So it's that conduct from this day
    forward that will drive the determination by the
    Department of Corrections as to whether you will be
    paroled before the maximum time of the sentence that I
    will give or whether you will serve the maximum that is
    ordered.
    So looking at those things and evaluating on
that basis, Mr. Kelsey, it is ordered, with respect to
Count 1, that you are sentenced to the Michigan
Department of Corrections for a period of }240\mathrm{ months to
540 months with credit for }224\mathrm{ days' served.
    It is also ordered that you must pay
restitution in the amount of $9,664.75 payable to
James and Mary Whitaker, $41,786.83 payable to the Ingham
County Sheriff's Department. These amounts are subject
to adjustment at a restitution hearing as has been
reserved by your attorney or by stipulation of the
```

parties if that were to be the case as far as adjusting
the amounts as again referred by Mr. -- referred to by
Mr. Morley.
You must pay state costs in the amount of \$118.
You must comply with DNA collection previously ordered by
the Court. You must pay a crime victim's assessment in
the amount of $\$ 130$. You must pay court costs in the
amount of $\$ 700$. These costs are assessed based on the
State Court Administrative Office calculated average cost
of a criminal case in Ingham County.
As to Count 2, it is ordered that you serve
93 days' jail with credit for 93 days' served. You must
pay state costs in that case in the amount of $\$ 50$.
You are entitled, Mr. Kelsey, to appeal your
conviction and your sentence in this case. You have the
right to be represented by an attorney throughout the
appellate process. If you cannot afford one, one will be
appointed for you, but you would have to make that
request within 42 days of today's hearing.
Mr. Morley, is there a written notice on the
podium there?
MR. MORLEY: There is, Your Honor.
THE COURT: If you would please hand to
Mr. Kelsey the written notice.
Sir, this is your written notice of your right

```
to appellate review and request for appointment of
attorney. Do you have that in your hand, sir?
    THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
    THE COURT: Mr. Morley, is there anything
further for the record, sir?
    MR. MORLEY: No, sir.
    THE COURT: Mr. Roth, anything further for the
record?
    MR. ROTH: No, your Honor. Thank you.
    THE COURT: Mr. Morley, if you'll please stay
in the courtroom, we'll provide you a copy of the
judgment.
    Hold on one second. I need to make one
correction, which is on Count 1. The state costs I said
was $118. It is $68. The reason for that correction is
because the $50 is on the Count 2 charge.
    All right. Mr. Morley, please stay in the
courtroom. We'll provide you a copy of the judgment.
    MR. MORLEY: Thank you, Judge.
    Thank you, Mr. Roth.
    MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor.
    THE COURT: Thank you.
        (At 9:43 a.m., the matter was
        concluded.)
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